KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) 1 United States Attorney 2 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division 3 MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) 4 JAN 05 2006 Assistant United States Attorney 5 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 6 Telephone: (408) 535-5035 Facsmile: (408) 535-5066 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 05-00734-RMW 14 STIPULATION REGARDING Plaintiff, EXCLUDABLE TIME AND [PROPOSED] 15 ORDER 16 STEPHEN BROWN, aka blahz, aka sab, 17 Defendant. 18 19 It is hereby stipulated and agreed between defendant Stephen Brown, and through his 20 undersigned counsel, and the United States as follows: 21 Browsh wal On January 5, 2006, three other defendants/were arraigned on a six-count indictment, 22 charging as follows: Count One: Conspiracy to Commit Criminal Copyright Infringement, 23 Infringement By Electronic Means, Infringement By Distributing A Commercial Distribution 24 Work, and Use Audiovisual Recording Devices to Make Unauthorized Copies Of Audiovisual 25 Works, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371; Counts Two through Six: Criminal Copyright 26 Infringement By Electronic Means and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 27 506(a)(1)(B), 18 U.S.C. § 2319(c)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2; and an allegation of Criminal Forfeiture 28 STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CR 05-00734-RMW

gge GB ML

and Destruction, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 506(b) and 509(a).

On December 15, 2005, three other defendants were arraigned on the same indictment, time was excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, and a status conference was set for February 13, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Ronald M. Whyte.

In this case, the government is providing initial discovery to the defense. A substantial amount of discovery consists of digital evidence. The parties stipulate and move the Court to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act from the date of the arraignment, January 5, 2006, until the next status conference on February 13, 2006, because the parties believe that the ends of justice served by the granting of such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, particularly since reasonable time is needed for the defense to prepare for pretrial and trial matters, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). Additionally, the defendant is joined in the case with others who previously appeared in this case and no severance has been made, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

// // //

## Case 5:05-cr-00734-RMW Document 25 Filed 01/05/06 Page 3 of 4

The parties further stipulate that time may be excluded for reasonable time for defense preparation, since the failure to exclude time would deny counsel for the defendant reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A), 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). So stipulated.. Dated: January 5, 2006 KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney MARK L'. KROTOSKI Assistant United States Attorney So stipulated. Dated: January 2, 2006 Attorney for Defendant Brown 

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CR 05-00734-RMW Page 3 of 4

## **ORDER**

Based upon the foregoing Stipulation and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between January 5, 2006 and February 13, 2006 shall be excluded from the computation period within which the trial must commence, for the reasons and based upon the statutory provisions set forth by the parties in this Stipulation, including that time is needed for effective defense preparation. The Court finds that the ends of justice outweigh the interests of the public and the parties in a speedier trial based upon the grounds set forth above.

DATED: January 5, 2006

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge